

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

With respect to the Specification:

The examiner pointed out several errors and requested that applicants carefully review the specification for any additional errors. Applicants appreciate the suggestion.

Because there might be enough changes to make it difficult to make ready for printing, a Substitute Specification believed to contain no new matter and a Marked-Up Substitute Specification are attached under 37 CFR 1.125. (In the Marked-Up version, font and punctuation changes are not indicated.)

The following very minor changes were made throughout:

photo~~[[-]]~~polymer was changed to photopolymer;
photo~~[[-]]~~negative was changed to photonegative;
[[in.]] and [["]] were changed to inch;
[[sq. in.]] was changed to square inches;
~~half an inch~~ was changed to 0.5 inches; and
[[°]] was changed to degrees.

The following specific changes were made. Page and line numbers refer to the Marked-Up Substitute Specification and not the original nor the Substitute. However, page breaks and reduced font sizes were used to at least start each page of the Marked-Up Substitute Specification with the same word as the original. Comments are provided where the reason for the amendment may not be immediately obvious.

In the BACKGROUND:

Page 2, lines 4 – 5: "...covered with a transparent plastic sheet or lens."

Page 2, lines 23 – 24: "... ability to engrave metal plaques and ~~{having lesser}~~ have less chemical wastes and ~~[[being]]~~ be less labor intensive...":

Page 3, line 23: "The other aspect that a customer notices ~~[[are]]~~ is errors..."

Page 5, lines 1 - 2: "...rotates it by 180 degrees..."

Page 5, lines 5 – 6: "Releasing the tabs causes the marking surface to travel back up to the ink pad where the marking die normally rests and is pressing firmly against the ink pad for a fresh supply."

Comment: This insertion elaborates on the background description of one of the inventor's U.S. Patent no. 4,432,281 that was incorporated by reference.

Page 5, line 22: "Over curing the gel will cause all the ink to run out..."

In the SUMMARY

Page 6, line 3: "Another object is to reduce the ~~[[the]]~~ manual labor..."

Page 7, lines 15 - 16: "The back of the die remains tacky and can be adhered to a stamp platen without additional ~~adhesion~~ adhesive."

Comment: It should be clear that "adhesive" is what was meant.

In the BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS:

Pages 8 - 9: Change periods to semicolons where needed.

Page 8, line 16: "...schematic plan ~~[[vies]]~~ view..."

Page 8, lines 19 - 22: "FIG. **10b** shows one orthogonal view~~[[s]]~~ of a stamp in one cavity of the fixture illustrated in FIG. **10b**;

FIG. **10c** shows another orthogonal view of a stamp in one cavity of the fixture illustrated in FIG. **10b**;"

Comment: FIG. **10b** was used to denote two orthogonal views that now become FIG. **10b** and FIG. **10c** to conform to the examiner's requirement.

Page 9, lines 3 - 4: "FIG. **22** is a side elevation view of FIG. **21**~~[[.]]~~; and
FIG. **23** is a side elevation view of FIG. **21** when opened with a cured die lifted out."

Comment: FIG. **23** was included with the parent application but not mentioned in the text. The description of FIG. **23** should be immediately obvious from the figure itself and the remainder of the specification. FIG. **23** is to FIG. **22** what FIG. **15** is to FIG. **14**.

In the DETAILED DESCRIPTION:

Page 9, line 16: "After ~~[[the]]~~ a customer **200** selects..."

Page 11, line 29 - page 12, line 1: "...the angle to cover...is only about ± 1.5 degrees..."

Page 12, line 22: "...an angular displacement of approximately ± 1.5 degrees..."

Page 12, line 28 - page 13, line 1: "An inkjet printer could be purchased and adapted for use in the present invention by, in essence, replacing the ink cartridge with a stamp carrying assembly. The drive assembly **40** moves the stamp carrying assembly **55**..."

Comment: The figures and discussion before and after make the addition obvious.

Page 14, line 8: "Overall control is provided by the processor ~~[[70]]~~ 90. The processor ~~[[70]]~~ 90..."

Page 14, lines 10-11: "...that drives the x-axis motor ~~[[47]]~~ 44..."

Comment: These errors and corrections are obvious from FIG. 6. At page 11, lines 8 – 10 explain the designations "x-direction" and "y-direction." See below for a change to FIG. 6 that changed LASER block numeral 30 to 36.

Page 14, line 15: "...moves by the equivalent of one half of a code bar..."

Page 15, line 26 – 27: "...no electrical equipment ... ~~[[have]]~~ has been shown."

Page 17, lines 16 - 18: "Two parallel rails **214** carry a laser head **215** that can move along the rails. The rails **214** are attached to ends **216** and **217** that are designed to move together along rails 219 in a direction..."

Comment: The rails **219** were designated as **205** in FIG. 8 and not mentioned in the text. Numeral **205** was used for a process step in FIG. 2. Numeral **205** in FIG. 8 should, therefore, be changed. Note that FIG. 8 was described at page 17, lines 14 – 15 as showing only "the rudiments of an existing laser engraver" and was designated as PRIOR ART in the figure. A detailed description of these prior art devices is not needed to support any claims.

Page 18, lines 4 - 5: "FIGs. **10a**, ~~[[and]]~~ **10b**, and 10c illustrate a single cavity, in a top and two cross-sectional views, respectively..."

Comment: See comment above for page 8, lines 19 - 22.

Page 18, line 16: "...carbon dioxide lasers with a wavelength of ~~[[10.6 m]]~~ 10.6 μ m."

Comment: The Greek symbol μ was added to make the abbreviation for micrometers. The wavelength of carbon dioxide lasers is extremely well known to those using laser engravers.

Page 18, line 23: "After filling the fixture **220** with stamps ~~[[222]]~~ 261,"

Comment: In Fig. 9, **222** is the cavity and **261** is the stamp. In FIGs. **10b** and **10c**, **261** is the stamp and is so identified at page 18, line 6.

Page 19, line 26 - 27: "...will appear to be the same as ~~[[the]]~~ that for the dedicated apparatus..."

Page 20, line 14: "...to handle the largest stamp contemplated, about 1 x 3 inches."

Page 20, line 20: "... used with two sheets, about 2 x 4 inches,..."

Comment: The additions are obvious because all sizes have been discussed in inches.

Page 20, line 20: "...connected by break-off tabs."

Page 20, line 23: "... whose thickness is the ~~[[some]]~~ same as the die..."

Page 20, line 24 - 25: This plate 305 has a rectangular hole 304 (as illustrated in Figs. 12 and 16) which is the exact size as the desired die..."

Comment: Rectangular hole 304 is not illustrated in every one of FIGs. 12 - 18, discussed in this paragraph, but is illustrated in FIGs. 12 and 16.

Page 21, line 12: "(Production versions would include positioning stops..."

Page 22, line 9: "FIGs. 18 and 19 show the process after completion of UV exposure ~~[[process]]~~."

Comment: This removes the redundant use of "process." Page 21, line 25 lists the step as "the assembly is now ready for UV exposure."

Page 23, lines 16 - 17: "As an alternative, FIGs. 21, ~~[[and]]~~ 22, and 23 illustrate an assembly that uses a disposable cavity plate 325."

Comment: FIGs. 22 and 23 are obviously similar views of the same structure and have the same numeral designators in common.

With respect to the Drawings:

Changes Required by the Examiner:

FIG. 5: Change numeral ~~[[62]]~~ to 64.

Comment: Numeral 62 was used to describe the die marking surface in FIG. 3a. However, element 64 was described in the original text at page 13, line 21 as "Another thin plate 64 is attached to the ..." and at page 13, line 29 as "...places the stamp against the plates 63 and 64 and pushes..." There is no 64 elsewhere.

FIG. 6: Change LASER block numeral from ~~[[30]]~~ to 36.

Comment: Numeral 30 was used in FIGs. 3a and 3b. In discussing these figures, in the original, page 10, lines 17 - 18 state "The system comprises a base plate 30 having a laser 36 and ..."

FIG. 8: Change numeral ~~[[205]]~~ to 219.

Comment: See comment above for page 17, line 18 discussing the Specification.

FIG. 10b: Add caption, **FIG. 10c**, to rightmost of the two illustrations.

Comment: See comment for page 8, lines 19 - 20.

Additional proposed drawing changes:

All sheets: Delete optional sheet numbering.

FIGs. 10b and 10c.: Extend the lead line for marking die 262 to its interior.

FIG. 12: Extend the lead line for cavity 304 to the interior of the cavity.

FIGs. 14 & 15: Extend the lead line for photonegative 301 to its interior.

FIG. 16: Extend the lead line for exit hole 308 to its interior.

FIG. 17: Extend the lead line for photonegative 301 to its interior. Add an end for the photonegative 301 between its lead line and the lead line for cavity plate 305.

FIGs. 14 & 15 show the correct depiction of photonegative 301.

FIG. 20 & 21: Reduce the size of FIG. 20 and combine on one sheet with FIG. 21.

FIG. 22: Add an arced base to complete hinge 306 as shown in FIG. 23.

FIGs. 22 & 23: Cut off the tube going into receiver nozzle 313 at the end of 313 to look more like injection nozzle 311. Make the top of nozzle 311 coincide with inlet hole 307 as does hole 313 with nozzle 308. Combine both figures on one sheet.

Comment: It is believed that all these additional proposed changes are of a minor nature and do not add new matter.

With respect to the Claims:

In view of the examiner's earlier restriction requirement, claims 1-10 have been withdrawn. Applicant retains the right to present claims 1 – 10 in a divisional application.

Claims 11-20 remain pending in this application.

Rejection under 35 U.S.C. 112

The examiner rejected elected claims 11 – 20 under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph. In particular, claim 11 step b) recited a photonegative as being “in” the single cavity. However, figures 11-16 show the photonegative adjacent to but not “in” the cavity. The American Heritage Collegiate Dictionary defines “in” as “within the limits, bounds, or area of.” The photonegative text is within the bounds of the cavity sides, but the

photonegative in the embodiment in the figures forms one boundary of the cavity. Thus, it is not inside in a three dimensional sense. For examination purposes, the examiner treated the photonegative as being "next to" or "adjacent to" the cavity.

The claims were amended to substitute "optically sandwiched adjacent to" for "in." This change was made because "adjacent" sometimes means side by side as in adjacent parcels of land. The specification at page 21, lines 2 – 8 discusses a sandwich of a photonegative, cavity plate, transparent support plate and transparent cover. Sandwich is appropriate because cavity was described in the specification as being plate-like and photonegatives are well known to be thin sheets. The sandwich was described as being accessible to UV illumination at both top and bottom. Those of ordinary skill in the art would understand that the text in the photonegative must be within the lateral bounds of the cavity in order to expose the photopolymer inside. "Optical" is meant to define the latter two conditions.

The reference cited by the examiner and discussed below is similar except that the reference provides UV illumination from one side only and there is what appears to be a relatively thick transparent plate between its photonegative and the photopolymer. Although it may not be optimum, intervening transparent plates are not excluded by "optically sandwiched adjacent to." ("Adjacent" does not mean "contiguous," in this case.) *

Applicants believe that the examiner should have objected to the claims under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph. However, the examiner indicated that his rejections under the first paragraph could be overcome by rewording the claims.

Rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a)

The examiner rejected claims 11, 13, and 17-19 as being obvious over Kuriyama et al. (US 5,669,304) in view of Marion (US 5,152,223). Claim 12 was rejected over Kuriyama et al. in view of Marion and further in view of The Stamp Man. Claims 14 -16 and 20 would be allowed if rewritten to overcome the rejections under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, and the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Applicants appreciate the allowability of claims 14 - 16 and 20. However, applicants respectfully suggest that the teachings of Kuriyama et al. have been misapplied in rejecting the remaining claims.

The examiner referred to Kuriyama et al. as teaching the method in this application with the exception of placing the photonegative (52) in the cavity (73) formed by the cover (72) and placing the die on the platen of a self-inking stamp body. Reference was made to the entire disclosure of Kuriyama et al., in particular, Figures 1, 3, and 4(b). Marion taught bonding a marking die to the platen (20) of a self-inking stamp. There is no argument with the characterization of Marion. However, unlike the present application, Kuriyama et al. do not teach one how to make a marking die that could be applied to Marion's platen.

Fig. 4(a) of Kuriyama et al. shows a stamp forming unit (7) comprising a stack stamp stock (71) and a liquid resin encapsulation section (73) between a transparent cover (72) and the end surface (71a) of the stock. Liquid resin (73A) in the cavity (73) solidifies under ultraviolet radiation, see column 8, line 62 - column 9, line 12. The solidified resin in the cavity is presumably the marking die that the examiner referred to. However, as will be explained, whatever is formed would be totally unsuitable for application to Marion's platen.

Note that, the Kuriyama et al. patent is apparently entirely prophetic. Thus, the description of the final product is not as detailed as might have been supplied for working examples, although it is still clear what Kuriyama et al. envisioned overall.

First, as the name implies, the stamp forming unit is to be a stamp unit that is a finished stamp. The stock is used as a stamp body. "Generally speaking, the stock may be formed of resin, metal, wood or the like." See column 2, lines 37 - 39. This is clearly not a self-inker.

Second, the end face (71a) of the stock (71) is treated to make it rough whereas the internal face of the cover (72) is made smooth "so that when the transparent cover 72 is removed from the stock 71 after curing the liquid resin 73A, the cured resin remains on the stock 71." See column 9, lines 32 - 41.

Third, to form a stamp, a negative film (52) is placed in close contact with the cover (72) and irradiated. "In this way, the cured (irradiated) portions of the liquid resin 73A, which correspond to characters in the shape of a relief, form a stamp face." See column 10, lines 60 - 63. "When the transparent cover has been detached, the uncured portions of the liquid resin 73A begin to flow of itself, leaving the cured portions behind." Any remaining is washed off with water. See column 11, lines 5 - 10.

At the risk of repetition, "Thus, only the cured portions of the liquid resin 73A remain to provide a surface in the shape of a relief (a stamp face) corresponding to the relief characters. In this way, it is possible to obtain from the stamp forming unit 7 a stamp unit (or a stamp) having a relief stamp face with desired relief characters." See column 11, lines 11 - 16.

Lastly, a low refractive index cover (72) is used to "obtain a high-quality relief stamp face free of any deformation (foot expansion, tapering, etc.) in the protruding sections." See column 11, lines 54 - 59. This indicates that the cross section of the relief characters does not change along their length.

There are no drawings illustrating the formed stamp face, only cavities with and without liquid resin and, other than the above sentence, there appears to be no further discussion of what the relief characters look like. It is reasonable to conclude, however, that individual characters are supposed to adhere to the rough end face (71a) and protrude with a constant cross section to the internal face of the cover (72).

Thus, after removing the cover, a finished integral stamp with individually adhered characters, but not a type die, would be formed by the Kuriyama et al. process. To transfer relief characters from the surface (71a) to a stamp body such as Marion's would require that they be detached one at a time and repositioned with adhesive on Marion's platen (20). This is clearly impractical. It would take some time and maintaining registration would be exceedingly difficult. Thus, the teachings of Kuriyama et al. defeat a major purpose of the present invention which is to produce a marking die that can be placed on the platen of a self-inker stamp body. There is almost no chance of successfully producing a self-inker using the Kuriyama et al. process.

When the limitations of Kuriyama are appreciated, applicants respectfully suggest that claim 11 (currently amended) should be allowable.

Once a claim is allowable, then any dependent claims are also allowable. However, the following remarks are directed to the examiners rejection of dependent claims 12, 13, 17, 18, and 19 that all depended from claim 11. These remarks may further illustrate why the invention as a whole is patentable over the prior art cited by the examiner.

Claim 12, that recited inputting type data from the Internet, was rejected in further view of www.stampman.net. The examiner used the facilities at www.waybackmachine.org to retrieve a 1997 archival version that offered a Custom Made Self-Inker. Using the same facility, a September 21, 1999 Stamp Man version under Shipping promises most orders shipped the next business day but, due to the fact that the stamps are custom made, manufacturing errors could cause 2 to 3 day delays. This does not suggest a manufacturing process providing the immediate turnaround of applicant's invention that could make a second stamp in a similar time as the first. It does suggest the prior art process described in the Background section of this application.

As of July 2003, the first page of www.stampman.net states in the second line from the top: "Production Schedule: On time to 24 hour delay. 95% of Orders placed before 5 PM EST are being shipped within **2 working days** (emphasis in original)." Clearly, a fast turnaround is highly desirable, and has been for sometime. Until applicant's invention, a five or even ten minute production schedule was unachievable.

Claim 13 recited inputting data to the computer by a customer in a point of sale location. This is unlikely to be adopted using the Kuriyama et al. method. At the risk of repetition, "When the transparent cover 72 has been detached, the uncured portion of the liquid resin 73A begins to flow of itself, leaving the cured portions behind. To completely remove the uncured portion of the resin, a liquid such as water is used for washing it away." See column 11, lines 6 – 9. With the Kuriyama et al. approach, a fair amount of washing may be required. In their FIGs. 4a and 4b, one can see a tube 71b that is filled with liquid resin. Irradiation has been used to create a solid plug 71d. Irradiation will create characters in the pool 73A but, if the resin between characters is liquid, so too will be the resin 71f. If this resin is completely blocked by the foot of a character, then it may remain in the finished stamp. However, if partially blocked, it will leak out.

The inventors used a photopolymer having about the viscosity of honey (see page 21, line 20). If the resin of Kuriyama et al. is similar (It is described as a gel having high viscosity in one embodiment at column 12, lines 1 – 8.), considerable washing and drying effort (see application's description at page 22, lines 13 – 16) would be required to remove the uncured resin, especially from the tube 71b. Not only would the time be too long for a point-of-sale setting, but the sink and attendant disposal of liquid waste may be more than would be reasonable to expect in a retail office supply store. Applicant's invention does require injecting the photopolymer but, as the description suggests at page 21, lines 27 – 29, this step of 10 to 20 seconds could be automated in a production version.

Claim 17 recited the use of adsorbent paper in an additional step to absorb uncured polymer directly after exposing the photopolymer in the preceding step. The examiner referred to Kuriyama et al. column 11 lines 19 – 28 that reads in pertinent part: "If some remaining portion (emphasis added) of the uncured resin 73A has to be wiped off with blotting paper or the like,..." As the remarks above directed to the rejection of claim 13 explain, the "remaining portion" is after washing in water. In contrast, the method in this application does not require a water wash as an intervening step. Thus, the method in claim 19 that depends from claim 11 does not read on nor is it suggested by any methods in Kuriyama et al.

Claim 18 recited the additional use of an adhesive to adhere a marking die to a platen. Applicants agree that use of an adhesive is the industry standard. Nonetheless, this dependent claim was used to point out that applicant's invention does not require the use of an adhesive. Moreover, though not necessary (see page 22, line 28 – page 29, line 1) use of an adhesive may provide psychological reassurance of adherence.

Claim 19 recited a single die cavity formed with a "disposable cavity plate." The examiner rejected the broad recitation of a cavity plate as being indistinguishable from the cover (72) of Kuriyama et al. Claim 19 has been amended to distinguish over Kuriyama et al. by adding a limitation "non-UV-transmitting" to "cavity plate." The significance is that the cover (72) of Kuriyama et al. must transmit light to cure the resin (73A). See column 9 lines 4 – 9. Kuriyama et al.'s cover can be disposable, but would be more expensive than application's cavity forming plate that need not transmit ultraviolet light; an inexpensive photonegative film 301 takes the place of the cover (72). See page 23, lines 16 – 26.

In summary, on the surface, Kuriyama et al. appear to solve the problems in producing a one at a time marking die that can be placed on the platen of a self-inker stamp body. On closer inspection, however, one finds that the methods in Kuriyama et al. are entirely unsuitable and the reference does not suggest any modifications that would make them workable for the problem at hand.

Application No. 09/671,804
Amendment dated July 28, 2003
Reply to Office action of Feb. 28, 2003

If the examiner believes this submission is not responsive to the examiner's first Office action, it is respectfully requested that the examiner call the undersigned as soon as possible.

Finally, with the amendments, for the reasons given the application should be in condition for allowance and that action is earnestly solicited.

Respectively submitted,

Mark Douma

Mark Douma, Esq.
Registration No.: 34,581
1001 Manning Street
Great Falls, VA 22066
Tel: (703) 759-1002

RECEIVED
JUL 28 2003
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800

Application no. 09/671,804 Marked Up Substitute Specification Submitted July 28, 2003

Non-Provisional Application for U.S. Letters Patent

Inventors: Alexander C. Wall and Llewellyn E. Wall

5

for

METHODS FOR PROVIDING CUSTOM RUBBER STAMPS

RELATED APPLICATIONS

10

This application claims priority from a provisional application, serial no. 60/156,405, filed on 09/28/1999, incorporated herein by reference.

BACKGROUND

15

1. Technical Field

20

The present invention relates to an improved retail process and apparatuses for putting customized marking devices, commonly called rubber stamps, in the hands of a customer.

2. Background of the Invention

25

In the mid 19th century, a method of making a rubber printing die was developed. When mounted on a wooden block with a handle, together termed a mount, and pressed against a pad containing ink, it became practical to mark or write a message many times without a printing press. Identical wooden mounts were made automatically in large quantities. However, except for standard legends, e.g., [""]PAID,[""] the die on each stamp must be individually tailored for each customer. This is a unique requirement in a simple

mass production product. Over 100 years ago, it was found to be more economical to make batches of 20 to 100 individual dies on a single sheet rather than make one die at a time. The sheet is cut with scissors and the dies are glued to mounts by hand. To complete the stamp, an index card that duplicates the text on the die is affixed to the mount and usually covered
5 with a transparent plastic sheet or lens. This process has remained to the present day.

There are three main methods for producing rubber stamp dies. Today, these are carried out by about 4,000 small finishing shops with two to ten employees scattered around the country. In the original process, cold printing type is set in a bed of paper-mache that is then heated to form an accurate negative. This negative is filled with uncured rubber. Heat
10 and pressure are applied to vulcanize the rubber to a strong elastomeric sheet of dies. Although the dies produce an excellent print, only a few shops continue to use this system as it is very labor intensive.

Currently, the most popular is the photo[[-]]polymer method. Typically, an 8½ x 11 inch photo[[-]]negative is filled with text (or graphics) from as many dies that will fit. This
15 is used with UV light to illuminate the front of a planar cavity filled with a liquid photo[[-]]polymer while the entire back is illuminated. Where exposed, the liquid turns into a strong elastomeric rubber leaving a solid back and a front with solid text. The liquid between the rubber text is washed away.

About eight to ten years ago, a method that uses a medium power carbon-dioxide
20 laser engraver was introduced. Under computer control, a relatively large sheet of rubber (approximately 97 [[sq. in.]] square inches) is engraved with the die information for as many dies as will fit. Adoption of this method has been retarded by the high price of the engraving apparatus, \$20,000 to \$50,000, but the cost is offset by the ability to engrave metal plaques and ~~having lesser~~ have less chemical wastes and [[being]] be less labor intensive than the
25 photo[[-]]polymer method. The disadvantage of this method is that it takes 30 minutes to 2 hours, depending on the text, to engrave one sheet.

FIG. 1 illustrates a prior art process by which an end customer can obtain an individualized stamp using a laser engraver. (The photo[[-]]polymer method is substantially

the same save for the details of producing the type die.) First, a mount manufacturer, of which there are about a dozen large highly automated ones in the country, makes mounts **101** without dies attached and ships them **102** to a finisher. The finisher keeps a separate inventory of unfinished mounts and rubber sheets **103**. A customer **100** orders a stamp and
5 the finisher records the data **104**. In some cases, the customer will order directly from the finisher, while in others, an order may be placed through an intermediary such as an office supply store. The finisher collects orders until enough are on hand to make an economical batch **105**. When these are obtained, data is entered into a computer **106** that controls the laser engraver to imprint the data in the rubber sheets **107**. After engraving, the sheets are cut
10 **108** and affixed to the mounts **109**. An index card is made and mounted **110** and covered with a lens **111**. The last step is to match the completed stamp to the order and ship it or set it aside for pick-up **112**.

As illustrated in FIG. 1, there is a time delay, t_1 , between ordering a stamp and receiving it that depends on where the customer places their order and what method is used to make the dies. If ordered through an office supply store, t_1 = several days. Some finishers,
15 using the photo[[-]]polymer method, promise an in by 10:00 am, out by 3:00 pm service, so that t_1 = 5 hours. A customer is, most likely, entirely unaware of the process by which a stamp is produced. However, the time delay is hard to miss. For business customers, stamp requirements are important enough so that the time delay is tolerated. For consumers for
20 whom purchase of a stamp is more discretionary, the time delay probably causes the stamp making industry to lose considerable sales. Very few consumers purchase individualized rubber stamps.

The other aspect of the process that a customer notices [[are]] is errors in the finished stamp die. Errors usually occur at the data entry step **106** and occur often enough to be a
25 nuisance.

From the finisher's standpoint, the process requires a number of manual steps including: the recording of data from the customer, the inputting of that data into a computer, the cutting of individual type dies from a sheet after each batch is formed, selecting the appropriate stamp from inventory, mounting individual type dies on stamp

bodies, printing and mounting index cards on the stamp bodies, identifying the finished stamp with the order, and delivery to the appropriate customers. Each of these steps introduces the possibility of errors creeping in. For instance, if a data entry is incorrect, the type die is incorrectly cut from the sheet, or is misaligned when mounted on the stamp body, 5 the stamp is generally not salvageable and the finishing shop must start from scratch, waiting for a sufficient number of stamp orders to fill another sheet before making a replacement.

Several studies of end user preferences made by the Marking Device Industry Association have overwhelmingly shown that print quality, appearance, durability and convenience of purchase are always more important than price. It would seem that more 10 progress would have been made with respect to convenience of purchase, even if the price were slightly higher.

Given that the process illustrated in FIG. 1 is a century old, one might assume that the stamp industry lacks innovative talent. However, in that time, marking stamps have been the subject of a large number of improvement patents on stamp articles and methods of making 15 them. Many of these have been implemented so that the stamp of 1999 bears little resemblance to its 1899 predecessor. However, in order to reduce the time delay, errors, and labor costs, improvements to the process illustrated in FIG. 1 are required.

One of the improvements in stamps themselves is the development of the self-inking stamp. Since this invention is directed primarily to solving problems related to old fashioned 20 rubber stamps and self-inkers, details of self-inker construction are pertinent. An exemplary self-inking marking device may be found in U.S. Patent No. 4,432,281, issued Feb. 21, 1984, to Wall et al., incorporated herein by reference. In summary, these employ a simple ingenious mechanism comprising a top outer casing, a bottom casing inside, springs tending to separate the casings, and a platen on which is affixed a rubber stamp known as a "type 25 die." An axle runs down the center of the platen on the side opposite the marking surface and engages a slot in the bottom casing. Springs normally keep the bottom and top casings separated with the marking surface contacting an ink pad inside the top casing. When the top casing is pushed down on the bottom casing, the axle is forced

down the slot, but an indent in the bottom casing engages the platen and rotates it by 180 degrees so that the marking surface faces whatever is below the bottom end of the bottom casing. For storage convenience, tabs in the bottom casing can be used to "lock down" the platen with the marking surface at a position slightly above the bottom of the bottom casing.

- 5 Releasing the tabs causes the marking surface to travel back up to the ink pad where the marking die normally rests and is pressing firmly against the ink pad for a fresh supply. Since the casings and platen can be made of plastic, the retail cost of even custom stamps ranges from as little as \$10.00 for small marking surface sizes of about 0.5 [[sq. in.]] square inches to about \$60.00 for a 5 [[sq. in.]] square inch size.

- 10 It should be noted that there are two other types of stamps that have been developed. The first uses a stencil covering an ink supply pad. The stencil is a thin film, usually of plastic, that, through a number of processes, has holes created in it corresponding to the desired text. When pressed down, ink is transferred through the holes to make a print. These have been available for many years, but none have proven commercially successful for
15 several reasons. They are somewhat fragile and have a relatively short life. With some versions, the print quality is not good enough, especially if the surface is uneven or the stamp is not held perfectly flat against the surface. With other versions, the cost of the mount is too high.

- The second, and more popular type, is the pre-inked stamp. Similarly to the
20 UV-cured photo[[-]]polymer stamp, heat is used to cure an ink containing gel on a back side and text on a marking side to form a stamp pad. These are less fragile than the stencil stamp, but are difficult to make. Over curing the gel will cause all the ink to run out, but under curing the gel causes it to wash away. They also require special inks that tend to bleed through ordinary paper.

- 25 In short, the self-inker type has, by far, the largest share of the market.

SUMMARY

Accordingly, a major object of the present invention is to provide a retail process

wherein a customer can order an individualized rubber stamp and receive a finished working stamp in a conveniently short period of time such as ten minutes or, more conveniently, five minutes or less. Another object is to reduce the [[the]] manual labor and resulting errors in finished stamps. Still another object is to provide apparatuses with relatively low capital costs that can be used to carry out steps in the process.

In one embodiment of the invention, these objects are realized in a process wherein a customer, in a retail point-of-sale setting, with or without operator assistance, inputs data to a computer and confirms the accuracy and layout. After this step, an operator places a selected stamp, finished in all respects except for engraving and affixing an index card, in a special purpose laser engraver to engrave the type die. As an alternative or addition, the computer causes a printer to print the index card and this is used to check the data input and later affixed to the stamp.

Although not essential, the almost finished stamps should preferably have type dies affixed by high-speed, automated machines, so that the mounts are completely assembled and no further assembly operations are required. If not available, part of this objective can be realized by obtaining blank type dies pre-cut by machine and affixing them by hand.

The present invention utilizes a novel special purpose engraver suitable for engraving type dies on stamps, one at a time, having a drive mechanism that moves the stamp horizontally, a suitable engraving laser with a vertical drive, and a control that causes the stamp and laser to move such that the laser beam performs a raster scan over the type die. The laser is turned on and off to transfer marking data to the type die that has been inputted into a memory in a controller.

Until the aforementioned laser engraver is widely available, the invention can utilize a fixture that can be used with existing laser engravers to individually engrave type dies affixed to stamps. The multiple cavity fixture accepts stamps of various sizes, keeps the type dies on the stamps oriented for engraving, detects the size or absence of stamps, and relays this information to a computer. The fixture can be used to make stamps in a batch mode, but engraving can be interrupted to finish a single stamp in two to three minutes.

In contrast to the prior art methods, the novel stamp finishing system of the present invention requires little manual labor and enables a customer to receive a custom stamp within approximately five minutes of the time a customer finishes inputting data. As noted above, conventional stamp finishing shops receive semi-assembled stamp bodies and components from stamp manufacturers, engrave the marking surfaces for each stamp according to customer specifications, and complete the assembly of the stamps. The novel stamp finishing system of the present invention takes fully assembled stamps which require only engraving of the type die and printing of the index label, and completes these two final steps in a fully automated fashion.

Most of the objectives of the invention can be realized utilizing a method that does not require a laser engraver. This method uses a light curable photopolymer that is illuminated through a photonegative to form a rubber type die with raised markings. A single die cavity is used so that, after curing, the die is ready to be placed onto the platen of a stamp body, preferably, a self-inker. The making of a single die means that the cured rubber does not have to be cleaned and cut into individual dies. The back of the die remains tacky and can be adhered to a stamp platen without additional ~~adhesion~~ adhesive. Adhesion is adequate, but not so strong that the die cannot be removed and repositioned without damage. More labor is involved, but the time for a customer to receive a finished stamp is still less than about five minutes. Some overall labor can be saved by using a disposable die cavity.

Further features and advantages of the present invention as well as the structure and operation of various aspects and embodiments of the present invention are described in detail below with reference to the accompanying drawings.

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

The objects and advantages of the present invention will be more clearly understood when considered in conjunction with the accompanying drawings, in which:

FIG. 1 is a flow chart illustrating the conventional prior art process by which a customer can obtain a stamp;

FIG. 2 is a flow chart illustrating the process of the present invention;

FIG. 3a is a schematic elevation view of one illustrative embodiment of a stamp engraving apparatus
5 suitable for use with the process of this invention;

FIG. 3b is a schematic plan view of the stamp engraving apparatus illustrated in FIG. 3a;

FIG. 4 is an enlarged schematic plan view of a drive assembly associated with the stamp engraving apparatus of FIGs. 3a and 3b;

FIG. 5 is an enlarged schematic elevation view of a stamp carrier assembly that rides on the drive
10 assembly illustrated in FIG. 4;

FIG. 6 is a schematic block diagram illustrating one preferred embodiment of control elements for the stamp finishing system associated with the present invention;

FIG. 7 is a schematic flowchart of one embodiment of the software which controls the operation of the stamp finishing system associated with the present invention;

15 FIG. 8 is a schematic plan view of a commercially available laser engraver;

FIG. 9 is a schematic plan ~~[[vies]]~~ view of the laser engraver illustrated in FIG. 8 having a multiple cavity fixture covering a work area;

FIG. 10a is a top view of a stamp in one cavity of the fixture illustrated in FIG. 9;

FIG. 10b shows one orthogonal cross-sectional view~~[[s]]~~ of a stamp in one cavity of the fixture
20 illustrated in FIG. 10a;

FIG. 10c shows another orthogonal cross-sectional view of a stamp in one cavity of the fixture illustrated in FIG. 10a;

FIG. 11 illustrates a single stamp photonegative for use with a photopolymer method;

FIG. 12 is a top plan view of a stamp producing cavity plate;

25 FIG. 13 is a front elevation view of FIG. 12;

FIG. 14 is a side elevation view of a closed photopolymer gel exposure assembly;

FIG. 15 is a side elevation view of an opened photopolymer gel exposure assembly;

FIG. 16 is a top plan view of FIG. 14 showing photopolymer injection;

FIG. 17 is a side elevation view of FIG. 14 with UV irradiation;

30 FIG. 18 shows a cured die lifted out of the cavity plate;

FIG. 19 shows cleaning the die of excess fluid;

FIG. 20 shows a self-inker stamp body with a platen on which the die is placed;

FIG. 21 is a top plan view of a photopolymer gel exposure assembly with a disposable cavity;

FIG. 22 is a side elevation view of FIG. 21; and

FIG. 23 is a side elevation view of FIG. 21 when opened with a cured die lifted out.

5

DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE PREFERRED EMBODIMENTS

A method that uses a laser engraving machine will be described first, followed by one that uses light curable photopolymers. As illustrated in FIG. 2, the present invention is a radical departure from the process through which customers can obtain stamps. In order for this process to work best, mount manufacturers would assemble mounts with blank dies adhered to the platens 201. After shipping 202, the finishers will have an inventory of unitary stamps 203 with already affixed blank type dies as an integral unit at a point of sale outlet. The outlet could be a new type of retail outlet that can finish stamps or a traditional finisher.

After [[the]] a customer 200 selects a particular stamp, the customer or an employee at the outlet enters marking data, text and possibly graphical information, into a computer 204. This information is printed on an index card for proofreading and given to the customer for approval 205. If correct, the selected stamp is placed in an engraving machine that produces text on the blank type die and the stamp is handed to the customer 206. Optionally, the customer can affix the index card to the stamp, along with a lens. Since a display screen could be used for error checking, making an index card is not essential, but a finished stamp without one would be unusual.

Presupposing a suitable engraving machine, one of which will be described below, this process has two major advantages. From the customer's standpoint, it will be possible to complete the process in a convenient period of time such as ten minutes or, more preferably five minutes. From the retail stamp suppliers standpoint, the number of steps, especially manual ones, have been dramatically reduced, saving on both labor and preventing mistakes. Thus, the cost can be lower.

A more subtle benefit is that affixing the type dies to the stamp bodies as part of the mass production step eliminates the problems of badly cut, improperly affixed or misaligned type dies in the currently used processes, all of which result in significant delay and customer dissatisfaction. Mass producers can also use more permanent adhesives that will eliminate
5 the common problem of type die slippage over time.

Until mass producers have changed their manufacturing practices, as a less desirable but still viable alternative, the retail outlet can buy stamp bodies as usual and apply pre-cut blank type dies. If a mistake is made in this step, at least an investment in engraving is not lost.

10 Another alternative is to print the index card after engraving the stamp. This approach would be taken when, e.g., the data input is from a remote location such as an order placed over the Internet.

In all these embodiments, engraving is carried out directly on a stamp having an attached blank type die.

15 The prior art methods of producing type dies are not suitable for the improved method of this invention; different approaches to a stamp finishing system are required. One is illustrated in FIGs. **3a** and **3b**. The system comprises a base plate **30** having a laser **36** and a drive assembly **40** situated thereon. The base plate **30** is preferably horizontal, although other embodiments of the invention may involve alternative configurations. The base plate
20 **30** may be a rigid rolled sheet of 6061 aluminum, having a thickness of approximately 0.5 inches, a length of about 48 inches and a width of about 20 inches. This 0.5 inch plate is relatively inexpensive, adequately stiff, and easily machined to a high degree of accuracy. In an alternative embodiment of the present invention, the base plate **30** may be fashioned in any appropriate manner known in the art, and may have any dimensions necessary to support
25 the desired stamp finishing system.

Atop the drive assembly is a stamp carrier assembly **55** having a mounted stamp **61** with a type die marking surface **62** facing the laser output beam **37**. The laser **36** is a laser capable of engraving rubber, such as the Model 48-2 laser, a 25 Watt carbon dioxide air-cooled device made by Synrad, Inc., Mukilteo, Washington. The Synrad Model 48-2 is

approximately 33 inches in length, with a cross section of approximately three inches by four inches. It should be noted that the nominal 0.04 inch laser beam 37 is converged with long focal length lenses to an approximate beam diameter at its waist of about 0.002 inches that is focused on the type die. This provides the power density to vaporize the rubber type die.

- 5 The choice of laser is not limited to this model; any having the requisite power density may be used.

As will be explained in detail below, the function of the drive assembly 40 is to move the stamp carrier assembly 55 in the horizontal (hereinafter denoted as the "x-direction") while the laser output beam 37 is moved in the vertical (hereinafter denoted as the
10 "y-direction"). In this way, the laser beam performs a raster scan over the type die. Engraving is accomplished by modulating the laser output as a function of position on the type die.

Movement of the laser in the y-direction is accomplished with a stepper linear driver 38 that supports the output end of the laser. Incremental movements of approximately 0.001
15 inches per step are thought desirable. Other available methods of incrementally raising or lowering the laser are possible.

The back end of the laser 36 (i.e., the end of the laser distal from the output beam 37) is mounted on a pedestal 32 with a flexure spring 34. Alternatively, the back end of the laser may be attached to the pedestal 32 by a hinge, or by any other appropriate connector.
20 However, a flexure spring is preferred because it provides lateral stiffness and hinders shaking of the laser during operation. The heights of the pedestal 32 and the stepper linear driver 38 are chosen to optimally position the laser 36 relative to the marking surface 62. Preferably, the laser is positioned substantially perpendicular to the plane of the marking surface and is pointed to locate its beam substantially at the center of the marking surface to
25 minimize the error when the laser is tilted to engrave the full width of the marking surface. This error is introduced because, when the laser is tilted, the emitted laser beam is no longer perpendicular to the marking surface and therefore does not make a perpendicular cut. However, since the pivot point at the pedestal 32 is so far from the marking surface 62, the angle to cover typical type die sizes of ± 0.75 [[in.]] inches is only about

± 1.5 degrees and the error introduced in the engraving of a single marking surface to a depth of 0.04 inches appeared in an experiment to be only approximately ± 0.0005 inches. This is three times smaller than the typical resolution of ± 0.0015 inches (300 dots or lines per inch) required.

5 If particular applications require elimination of this error, the laser may alternatively and advantageously be attached to the base plate 30 in a translatable fashion, so that the entire laser is moved relative to the marking surface 62, rather than being tilted. One such embodiment results from the replacement of the pedestal 32 and the flexure spring 34 with a stepper linear driver similar to 38 to support the back end of the laser. In this embodiment,
10 the stepper linear drivers supporting the front and the back of the laser are synchronously stepped, so that the laser 36 remains substantially parallel to the base plate 30 and perpendicular to the marking surface 62 at all times.

 Alternatively, the error introduced by tilting the laser could be controlled by varying the convergence and divergence of the focus point of the laser beam. In such an alternative
15 embodiment, the beam 37 emitted by the laser 36 is slightly less focused at greater tilting angles, allowing enough spread of the laser beam to compensate for the error otherwise introduced. The cut made by the laser in the marking surface 62 may thus be kept substantially perpendicular to the marking surface. This embodiment requires a simple additional computer control that could easily be implemented by those skilled in the art.

20 Returning to the preferred embodiment of the present invention shown in FIGs. 3a and 3b, the flexure spring 34 is chosen to enable the laser 36 to achieve an angular displacement of approximately ± 1.5 degrees relative to its default position, substantially perpendicular to the marking surface 62. This flexure is well within the elastic limit of stainless steel spring stock approximately 0.02 inches in thickness.

25 FIG. 4 illustrates the drive assembly 40 in more detail. This assembly is very similar to that used in an inkjet printer sold at retail by Hewlett Packard Co., Lexmark International, Inc, and others. An inkjet printer could be purchased and adapted for use in the present invention by, in essence, replacing the ink cartridge with a stamp carrying assembly.

The drive assembly 40 moves the stamp carrying assembly 55 in a direction substantially perpendicular to the laser 36 and parallel to the base plate 30 to produce the x-direction motion of the raster scan of the marking surface 62 by the laser 36. The drive assembly 40 is conveniently contained within a U-shaped frame 41. A cylindrical stamp carrier assembly slide bar 42 and a rectangular guide rail 43 are positioned lengthwise in the frame 41 with their ends secured in the sidewalls of the frame. These components support and guide the stamp carrying assembly as it travels in the x-direction. The drive assembly further comprises a motor 44 secured to one sidewall of the frame. An output shaft of the motor is attached to a cog wheel 45 that drives a cog belt 46 that loops around an idler pulley 47 located proximate to the opposite sidewall of the frame. Moving the stamp carrying assembly 55 is accomplished by attaching one side of the drive belt 49 to it.

The position of the stamp carrying assembly is measured with a linear encoder and other sensing apparatus (not shown). Inkjet printers routinely provide a printing resolution of 300 dots per inch (dpi) that is adequate for the laser engraver of the present invention. In fact, using a modified retail inkjet printer is convenient because the software for driving the carriages of such printers is well understood.

FIG. 5 shows a side view of a stamp 61 in a carrying assembly 60. A block 65 has a passageway 66 lined with a low friction bushing 67 for the slide bar 42. Another passageway 68 encompasses two sides of the guide rail 43. A tang 69 is attached to the cog belt 46 so that the assembly moves with the cog belt. Attached to the block 65 is a thin L-shaped plate 63 that forms a platform for the stamp 61. Another thin plate 64 is attached to the plate 63 and forms a front stop for the stamp. A bail 81 that is a wire frame having two sides (one not shown), the ends of which are attached to tangs on the plate 63, and a horizontal section connecting the sides, has a cylinder 84 positioned in the middle of the horizontal section. Springs 82, one for each side of the bail 81, are connected on one end to the horizontal sections of the bail 81 and on the other end to the tang on sheet 63, but closer to the stamp 61 than the attachment point of the bail 82.

In order to place a stamp in the assembly 60, an operator pulls the bail 81 away from the stamp position, places the stamp against the plates 63 and 64 and pushes the bail

against the stamp. The springs 82 maintain the stamp in position during engraving. The position with respect to the carriage 40 must be known in order for the controller to know where the die surface is. Fixing a front corner of the stamp body with respect to the plate 63 should be adequate and easily designed for. In order to reduce operator error, it may be
5 advisable to have sensors that indicate when a stamp is out of position. Implementing these is also believed to be a routine engineering exercise.

FIG. 6 illustrates additional functional elements required in order to engrave a stamp. Overall control is provided by a processor [[70]] 90. The processor [[70]] 90 is connected to: 1) a laser control 91 that turns on the laser 36 and modulates the laser output beam 37, 2)
10 a y-axis drive 92 that drives the y-axis motor 38, 3) an x-axis drive 93 that drives the x-axis motor [[47]] 44, 4) a y-position counter 94, and 5) a random access memory (RAM) 95.

This illustration assumes, as is commonly the case, that the drive assembly has a linear encoder strip 51 with an associated encoder head 96 and electronics 97 to detect the position of the carrier assembly 55. Thus, every time the stamp carrying assembly 55 moves
15 by the equivalent of one half of a code bar, an electrical signal corresponding to one count and the direction of travel is produced. These are transmitted to the x-position counter 98. If the y-drive is a stepper motor, then the processor need only deliver a signal to the y-position counter 94 every time it commands the y-axis motor to move one step. The two counters 98 and 94 form an x-y address that is transmitted to the RAM 95.

20 Before engraving a stamp, the computer 70 loads an image bit map into the RAM 95. Then, starting from a reference position (this requires additional elements not shown or described because implementation would be routine) the processor causes the stamp carrying assembly to move in the x-direction over the length of the type die. During this time, the x-position counter 98 changes the address in the RAM 95 and data is read out corresponding
25 to the image bits at those addresses. The value for each address is either a zero or one; the laser is correspondingly turned off or on. At the end of one scan in the x-direction, the processor moves the laser in the y-direction by one step incrementing the y-address by one bit and the x-scan is repeated with a new set of image bits corresponding to that y-axis position on the type die.

Thus, the motion of the laser beam relative to the type die marking surface that is engraved is that of a "raster scan" consisting of a set of lines parallel to the x-axis spread over the y-dimension of the surface. Motion of the stamp carrying assembly 55 in the x-direction may be either "unipolar" or "bipolar." In unipolar motion, engraving is performed only when
5 the marking surface 62 is moved in one direction relative to the laser beam 37. In bipolar motion, engraving is performed in both the back and forth directions. In an embodiment directed towards increased speed, bipolar motion is generally preferred, and in an embodiment directed towards better quality marking, unipolar motion is generally preferred because it reduces backlash. The controlling means depicted in FIG. 6 can support either
10 type of motion.

In a preferred embodiment of the present invention, the x-axis is parallel to the longer edge of a rectangular marking surface 62 on a stamp body 61, and the y-axis is parallel to the shortened edge of the rectangular marking surface, but this is not essential.

If desired, text may be produced in the type die below the printing surface. Although
15 it would not print, it would be visible and can be used for production control.

Implementation of the controller may be further explained with reference to FIG. 6. The components inside the dotted box of FIG. 6, generally termed machine elements, are hardware devices (electronic, optical, and mechanical) contained within the physical casing of the system. Typically, the processor 90 would be a simple interface card plugged into a
20 slot in the computer 70 working with software inside the computer, but it could be a standalone unit.

As will be understood by one skilled in the art, a number of safety and environmental control devices are needed in any embodiment of the present invention. For instance, laser engraving of rubber produces smoke and gaseous residue which must be removed from the
25 machine and transmitted to a waste disposal means. Herein, the figures show no casings, covers, interlocks, vapor entrapment or related elements. Similarly, no electrical equipment such as power supplies and cords ~~[[have]]~~ has been shown. These omissions are for ease of viewing, since one skilled in the art could routinely supply these features to any embodiment described herein.

FIG. 7 illustrates the operation of the software associated with the stamp finishing system. The machine first waits for data entry, as shown, from computer 72. During this step, the display 74 shows an operator (a retail customer or a store employee) directions and an options selection. In an embodiment configured for unattended public use, the option of
5 payment by credit card may be provided. In an embodiment configured for commercial use, billing and customer information may be displayed.

At the first use of a data entry mechanism such as the keyboard or touch screen 72, the machine begins to accept data entry, and, on the display 74, depicts an image of what the marking surface 62 would look like when formed according to the operator's specifications.
10 This display 74 is updated as data is input by the operator. In a preferred embodiment, the font and type size may be changed at any time by conventional means. The software also analyzes the data input to ensure that the proposed image fits on a marking surface 62 of the selected size. Alternatively, the computer could generate a display with a boarder showing the marking surface. The operator would then fit the text within the boarder. After all data
15 has been entered and formatted, the operator presses a "Make Stamp" selection and the machine begins to format the data for use by the controller illustrated by FIG. 6.

In a preferred embodiment, before engraving starts, an index card is printed so that the customer or an operator can check a hard copy of what would be engraved. Not only would this be helpful for those who have trouble reading monitor screens, but, when the
20 stamp is finished, the index card can be immediately affixed to the otherwise finished stamp.

While current customers do not consider the cost of stamps as the most important attribute, it is still important for a wider customer base. In that regard, the capitol equipment cost of the disclosed engraver is believed to be considerably less than that for current systems. The major cost is that of the laser which is \$5,000 when purchased
25 one-at-a-time. Lower power lasers could be used to save money at the expense of more time to engrave. A 25 watt laser could engrave the average four line address stamp in less than three minutes. A 10 watt laser would cost \$2000, but take ten minutes. Less than 10-watt

lasers would not be desirable, because there is not enough power to overcome heat conduction from the type die to the stamp body.

Similarly, although not essential to using the method and apparatus, stamp body manufacturers can easily modify their assembly machines to produce stamp bodies with type dies already affixed. It is anticipated that this can be accomplished by simply altering the station at which adhesive strips are conventionally attached to the stamp platen for later manual adhesion of the type dies. Instead, this station will receive a hopper feed of pre-cut, blank type dies which will be affixed with an appropriate cement in lieu of the adhesive strips. This modification will not be expensive since a new station is not required. The suggested additional step is not an additional one as the unmodified station already assembles a die adhesive strip to the stamp body.

While the previous apparatus may be preferable in many respects, it may take some time before it becomes widely available. Another apparatus suitable for use with existing laser engravers is disclosed. FIG. 8 shows the top view of the rudiments of an existing laser engraver. A stationary table **211** is covered with material to be engraved **212**. In the figure, a metal plate on which plaques **213** are being engraved is used for illustration. Two parallel rails **214** carry a laser head **215** that can move along the rails. The rails **214** are attached to ends **216** and **217** that are designed to move together along rails **219** in a direction orthogonal to the movement of the laser head **215**. The laser beam (not shown) is arranged to be located under one of the ends, e.g., **217**, perpendicular to the rails. A mirror (not shown) is located under end **217** and travels with it. The mirror intercepts the laser beam and directs it up to another mirror inside **217** that, in turn, directs the laser beam to the laser head **215** that, in turn, directs the laser beam to the work piece **212**. As can be imagined, all three mirrors are at approximately 45[[°]] degrees to the plane of the table **211**. An example of a commercially available laser engraver is the Model RSL-1824 available from M & R Marking Systems, Inc., Piscataway, New Jersey.

FIG. 9 illustrates a multiple cavity fixture **220** that can be used in conjunction with a commercial laser engraver to produce either one finished stamp at a time or a batch of finished stamps. It somewhat resembles an egg crate with cavities **222** for each stamp **261**.

In the illustration, 49 are shown, as, for the size of stamp mounts envisioned, this would fill the working area **218** of a standard laser engraver. However, the number is not critical.

The critical aspect is the handling of finished mounts that are more cumbersome than flat sheets. FIGs. **10a**, ~~[[and]]~~ **10b**, and **10c** illustrate a single cavity, in a top and two
5 cross-sectional views, respectively, on one corner of the fixture **220**. There is a bottom plate **224** and cavity walls **226**. A stamp **261** is held against one diagonal corner by flat springs **228** attached to the bottom plate **224** by raised perforations **230**. The springs **228** must be strong enough to maintain the position of the stamp **261** and hence the marking surface of the die **262** in a precise known position throughout engraving. These springs **228** may be made
10 out of beryllium copper, about ~~half an inch~~ 0.5 inches wide and 0.01 inches thick or any other suitable material.

Underneath the bottom plate **224**, is a printed circuit board **232** that is attached to the skirts of the bottom plate with tangs **234** or any other suitable means. Mounted on the printed circuit board are five laser sensors **236** that are visible to the laser through a hole **238**.
15 The printed circuit board **232** carries electrical connections to the laser sensors. Since laser engravers use carbon dioxide lasers with a wavelength of ~~[[10.6 m]]~~ 10.6 μ m, visible photodiodes cannot be used as sensors. However, inexpensive, heat sensitive resistors (thermistors) can be used. The response time is not fast for repetitive pulses, but, as will be described, this is not necessary.

20 When using the fixture **220** in a batch mode, a computer prints out the number of stamps of various sizes as a result of the data input on stamp orders. The stamps may be of mixed sizes and all cavities need not be filled.

After filling the fixture **220** with stamps ~~[[222]]~~ **261**, the fixture is set on the work area **218** in a precise location. Imprecision primarily affects the margin around text on the dies so that a 0.01 inch
25 tolerance should be adequate. More precision can be obtained using locator pins and holes in the fixture **220**. The engraver is then directed to make a calibration scan of the entire fixture. This is a quick pass over each cavity that only goes over locations where the laser sensors **236** are located. Taking into account the rate of travel, the laser beam **37** power should be adjusted to heat the laser sensor just enough to get a detectable

signal.

If the laser sensors are able to cool quickly enough, all of them can be connected to one pair of leads. Since the controller knows where the laser beam is at all times, when a signal is detected, it can determine which laser sensor generated it. If the lasers sensors do not cool fast enough, simple circuitry for each sensor could be used to generate a pulse on a common signal line that lasts less than the time for the laser head to reach the position of the next sensor. Since the speeds are not great, other electronic arrangements are easily realized. Since these calibration scans are done only once when the fixture is mounted, there should be sufficient time for all laser sensors to cool in the interim.

The purpose of the scan is to determine which cavities are filled with which size stamp or no stamp. Five laser sensors, located to be covered by successively larger stamps, are enough for four different size stamps and no stamp. With the collected information, the controller can then determine if the fixture was correctly loaded. If there were no errors, the controller then automatically engraves each stamp. Using this fixture **220** simplifies inventorying in step **103** and eliminates the steps of die cutting and affixing in steps **108** and **109**. It also should eliminate wasted stamps that occur as a result of these last two steps.

With this fixture, it is a simple matter to reduce the time delay for selected customers. If a customer wants a stamp in a hurry while the engraver is working on a batch of stamps, the operator can stop the engraver, place the desired stamp size in a cavity, have the engraver finish that stamp, and then have the engraver continue from where it left off. Even in batch mode, engraving can be prioritized and, assuming suitable safety interlocks, the operator can remove stamps as they are finished, filling the cavities with stamps for new orders, without stopping the engraving process. The entire batch can be re-prioritized at any time. This is impossible when dies are cut from a single sheet.

If these operations take place in a back room, from the standpoint of the customer **200** illustrated in FIG. 2, the process illustrated in the figure will appear to be the same as that for the dedicated apparatus disclosed above and the delay time t_2 should be less than about five minutes. This is because this fixture will be used by finishing shops having

higher power lasers and the actual engraving time will be two to three minutes for an average address stamp.

In some situations, the investment in a laser apparatus may be undesirable. Therefore, another embodiment of the invention instead uses a photopolymer method to produce a type
5 die. In this method, a photopolymer gel layer is illuminated through a photonegative to produce hard rubber text on one side and a solid rubber back on the other. FIG. 1, discussed above, also illustrates the current approach to providing stamps to customers using the photopolymer method. The embodiment described below provides an exposure assembly that is capable of carrying out the improved process illustrated in FIG. 2.

10 FIG. 11 illustrates a single stamp photonegative **301** (shown as a photopositive) with text **302** inside a stamp dimension **303**. This is composed on the computer as the data is inputted in step **204** of FIG. 2. When the index card, step **205** of FIG. 2 is produced, the photonegative **301** of FIG. 11 is also produced on special transparent film which is die cut to be large enough to handle the largest stamp contemplated, about 1 x 3 inches. The text
15 matter **302** is the material which the customer has given for the stamp to print. It is formatted to produce the size and form for making the die and could include graphics. It was found that using an available plastic overhead projector transparency with a laser printer did not produce dense enough blacks unless two sheets were used in series. This worked quite well as long as registration was maintained. For use with a standard laser printer, the envelope
20 feed would be used with two sheets, about 2 x 4 inches, in series connected by break-off tabs.

FIGs. 12 through 18 illustrate a concept for an assembly based on a simpler assembly that was used to produce working stamp dies. FIG. 12 shows a top plan view of a cavity plate **305**. This is a plate whose thickness is the ~~[[some]]~~ same as the die to be produced, usually
25 about ~~0.1" to 0.125"~~ 0.1 to 0.125 inches. This plate **305** has a rectangular hole **304** (as illustrated in FIGs. 12 and 16) which is the exact size of the desired die and will form a cavity when covered. On one long side of the plate is a hinge **306** that is used to rotate a cover. (A hinge was not used in the simpler assembly.) Two holes **307** and **308** are used in filling the cavity. FIG.13 is a front elevation view of

FIG. 12.

FIG. 14 shows in side elevation the exposure assembly consisting of a sandwich of the photonegative **301**, the cavity plate **305**, a transparent support plate **309**, and a transparent cover plate **310**. These four elements are arranged by means of the hinge **306** so that the photonegative **301** covers the bottom, in liquid-tight fashion, of the cavity **304**. The top of the cavity is covered in liquid tight fashion by the transparent plate **310**. In this arrangement, the cavity **304** is a sealed cavity yet is accessible to UV illumination at both top and bottom.

FIG. 15 shows in side elevation the opening of the cavity by swinging the top cover **310** and the cavity plate **305** upward so that the photonegative **301** can be inserted and removed. To make a die, the operator raises the cover and cavity plate as shown and inserts the photonegative. (Production versions would include positioning stops, not show here). The operator then closes the exposure assembly and initiates the die making sequence.

FIG. 16 shows a top plan view with partial sections of the exposure assembly during the photopolymer injection stage. An injection nozzle **311** is pressed against the inlet hole **307** in the cavity plate **305**. Through a standard feed system well known in the art, injection of the liquid photopolymer into the cavity **304** is commenced. (The photopolymer we used was Ideal Photopolymer #PT4018 from M&R Marking Systems, Inc., Piscataway, New Jersey.) The liquid photopolymer is about the viscosity of honey and advances steadily as shown in the partial section **312**. It is important in injecting the photopolymer that no air which might cause bubbles be entrained in the liquid. We have found that by injecting at one corner and allowing the liquid to advance steadily into the cavity and over the photonegative it will drive the air out of the diagonally opposite exit hole **308** and not entrain any bubbles. At the exit hole **308** is pressed a receiver nozzle **313**. When the liquid fills the cavity, injection is stopped and the assembly is now ready for UV exposure. This injection process is accomplished in 10 to 20 seconds. (A production version might include a photodetector at the receive nozzle to automate the filling process.)

FIG. 17 shows a side elevation view of the closed exposure assembly with UV lamps **314** and **316** and their respective reflectors **315** and **317** over the top and under the

bottom of the assembly. These lamps and their shields are arranged, as is well known in the art, to illuminate both the top and bottom of the die cavity. By conventional controls, the lamps are turned on and off in proper sequence. The sequence is such that by illuminating the photopolymer liquid through the photonegative, the text material is solidified while the
5 surrounding liquid is unaffected and the top liquid is uniformly illuminated so that it forms a solid sheet. The text characters "grow" toward the advancing, solidifying sheet. This produces the customary die plate with the characters attached. This process can be completed in about two minutes thus producing a finished die in about three minutes.

FIGs. 18 and 19 show the process after completion of UV exposure ~~process~~. There
10 still remains uncured liquid photopolymer in the spaces 321 between the text 319 on the die 318. In conventional finishing of photopolymer dies in large sheets, these are placed in tubs, rinsed with water, scrubbed with brushes to remove the liquid photopolymer and then dried with towels and hot air. This is a laborious and time consuming operation. We have found that nearly all of this removal process can be eliminated. When the cover plate 310 is raised,
15 it brings with it the finished die 318 because the relatively large solid back 322 is still tacky and separates the relatively smaller solid area text 319 from the photonegative 301 which is still held down by the cavity plate 305. A sheet of inexpensive, absorbent paper 320 is placed over the cavity and under the raised die. The cover plate 310 is then lowered briefly onto the paper. The operator can now grasp the paper and with it the die and lift them away.
20 We have found that about 70% of the uncured liquid photopolymer is removed from the die and absorbed by the paper. The operator then turns the paper and die over, dabs with the paper and discards it. Now about 90% of the liquid has been removed from the spaces between the text 321.

FIG. 20 shows a self-inker stamp mechanism 323 with a platen 324. The current
25 industry standard approach is to cut up the cleaned and dried photopolymer sheets into type dies and affix them to platens with adhesive. As a result of our method, the flat back 322 of the type die 318 is still in a tacky condition. We have found that, if the die is placed on

a dry clean styrene platen **324**, it will adhere so that no further adhesive is necessary.

Furthermore, while this adhesive action is sufficient for the life of the stamp (every time the stamp is used, the die is pressed against the platen), it is sufficiently pliable that if the die happens to be incorrectly placed it can be removed and reapplied without any harm to the die.

- 5 Although an adhesive could be used as with the current method of cementing dies to platens, the dies generally cannot be removed without damage and must be made over.

Removing uncured liquid with the paper **320** reduces the chances of getting the liquid on the cavity or the operators' hands especially when graphics with large gaps between raised areas are produced. However, we found that it is not necessary to remove the liquid
10 before putting die **318** on the platen **324**. The liquid can be totally removed by making several impressions on ordinary paper with the finished stamp. The ink tends to reduce the viscosity of the photopolymer liquid and the stamping action compresses the stamp so that the liquid is forced into contact with the paper. The small amount of uncured liquid that may remain is not harmful to the stamp or its ink, is biodegradable, and not harmful to humans.

- 15 The cavity plate **305** used in the just described method would normally be made out of stainless steel. As an alternative, FIGs. **21**, [[and]] **22**, and **23** illustrate an assembly that uses a disposable cavity plate **325**. This could be made from inexpensive die-cut cardboard, plastic, and the like. It is not attached to the machine but merely rests upon it or may be glued to the photonegative **301**. In this case the holes **307** and **308** have been moved to the bottom
20 along with the injector **311** and receiver **313**. The action of the machine is the same up to the point where the die has been cured by exposure to UV illumination. Also, as before, when the top cover **310** is raised, the die **318** sticks to it. Now, however, the disposable cavity **325** and photonegative **301**, along with any uncured, but viscous, liquid, can be thrown away without the need for a clean up. In contrast to the current industry approach, there are no
25 solvents or wastewater to dispose of.

Using a disposable cavity should make the time t_2 in FIG. **2** well under five minutes from the time the data is input in step **204**. There is more labor involved than with the laser engraver, but the equipment cost is considerably less. It may be possible to save on

labor by using an apparatus that has two or more cavities. This would be more useful when customers are placing orders from remote locations and not expecting immediate turnaround.

5 While preferred embodiments have been described that illustrate the invention, it should be understood that obvious variations will occur to those skilled in the art that are also intended to be included in the scope of the invention as defined by the following claims.

ABSTRACT

The present invention relates to an improved retail process and apparatuses for putting customized marking devices, commonly called rubber stamps, in the hands of a customer in a convenient period of time such as five minutes after data entry. Prior art processes make stamps in a batch mode, generally forming text on a separate rubber sheet, cutting the sheet, and adhering individual rubber stamps to stamp mounts taken from inventory. The instant process forms text and/or graphics on stamp mounts that are complete except for the marking data on the rubber and an index card. A special purpose one-stamp-at-a-time laser engraver can be used with the process. As an alternative, a multiple cavity fixture can be used in conjunction with existing laser engravers. A further alternative uses a photopolymer method of producing single stamps. In addition to a convenient time to fill an order for a customer, the instant process is directed toward reducing the number of manual steps and errors resulting from the prior art processes.